

**Comment on “Interaction induced deformation of the momentum
distribution of spin polarized nuclear matter” by Frick, M  ther,
and Sedrakian**

S. Frauendorf

*Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA**

K. Neerg  rd

N  stved Gymnasium og HF, Nyg  rdsvej 43, DK-4700 N  stved, Denmark[†]

Abstract

The paper does not take into account previous work where the effect they discuss is explored in detail. It is known from this previous work that the approach is incomplete.

PACS numbers: 21.60.Jz, 21.65.+f, 21.30.-x, 26.60.+c

*Electronic address: sfrauend@nd.edu

[†]Electronic address: neergard@inet.uni2.dk

In the recent paper “Interaction induced deformation of the momentum distribution of spin polarized nuclear matter” [1], Frick, M  ther, and Sedrakian discuss the distribution of the single-nucleon momentum in spin polarized nuclear matter. They find that for a given direction of the nucleonic spin this distribution is anisotropic, and estimate the gain in energy due to the deviation from an isotropic distribution. They ascribe this effect to the exchange part of a term in the tensor component of the one-pion exchange potential. As mentioned in Ref. [1] the bare two-nucleon interaction has in fact non-central terms, some of which may be expressed in the form of a tensor force. A part of the tensor force originates in one-pion exchange. The non-central terms in the bare interaction give rise to similar terms in the in-medium effective interaction. In Ref. [1] it is not mentioned that already in the literature of about a quarter of a century ago it was pointed out that the exchange part of the effective tensor force produces in spin polarized nuclear matter an anisotropic distribution of the momenta of the nucleons with a given direction of spin. Moreover, for almost that long time the approach of Ref. [1] has been known to be incomplete.

To our knowledge the observation that in spin polarized nuclear matter the exchange part of the tensor force produces a spin-dependent single-nucleon potential which varies with the direction of the nucleonic momentum was first made around 1975 in papers by Dabrowski and Haensel [2] and Haensel [3]. This observation leads immediately to the conclusion that the Fermi surface must exhibit a spin-dependent deviation from sphericity. The structure of the Fermi surface of spin polarized nuclear matter was investigated in detail in subsequent papers by Haensel and Dabrowski [4] and Dabrowski and Haensel [5]. The contributions of the distortions of the Fermi surface to the spin and spin-isospin symmetry energy coefficients and such quantities as the magnetic susceptibility of neutron matter are also calculated in these papers. The framework of these investigations is an extended Landau liquid model with a quasiparticle interaction derived from Brueckner theory. The spin degree of freedom is treated as if the nucleons with different spin along a fixed axis formed two separate interacting fluids. This is also the way the nucleonic spin is treated in Ref. [1].

With the rise of the field of high spin nuclear physics around 1975, we began to study the system of rotating infinite nuclear matter. Since angular momentum may be formed by alignment of the nucleonic spin, it was necessary to consider the influence of a spin polarization. Due to unfortunate personal reasons, the results were finally published only in 1996 in Ref. [6]. A brief account of our results [7] was prepared for a conference in 1980,

and in 1981 a preliminary version of Ref. [6] was distributed as a preprint [8]. We found that for some directions of the nucleonic momentum the spin dependent field is quantized in a direction different from that of the bulk polarization. Thus the approach taken in Refs. [2, 3, 4, 5] is incomplete. We also found that to first order in the polarization the distortion of the Fermi surface is given entirely by the sum of a monopole and a quadrupole term. A theory of non-rotating nuclear matter in a spin-dependent external field such as neutron matter in a magnetic field is obtained by setting in Eqs. (55) of Ref. [6] $\omega = 1$, and in Eqs. (56) $F(m_t)$ equal to minus the energy in the external field of a nucleon with spin and isospin $(s_z, t_z) = (\frac{1}{2}, m_t)$, and $G(m_t) = 0$. (Note that a factor $\hat{k}(m_t)$ is missing in the denominator in the expressions for $A(m_t, \tilde{m}_t)$ and $B(m_t, \tilde{m}_t)$ in Eqs. (57).)

The incompleteness of the approach taken in Refs. [2, 3, 4, 5] was pointed out in Ref. [8]. This apparently inspired the authors of Refs. [2, 3, 4, 5] to revisit the problem. In fact papers published in 1982 by Haensel and Jerzak [9] and in 1984 by Dabrowski [10] cite Ref. [8], and in Ref. [10] the polarization induced distortion of the Fermi surface is illustrated graphically in a form also employed in Refs. [7, 8]. (See Fig. 1 of Ref. [6] and Figs. 3 and 5 of Ref. [10].) The analysis in Refs. [9, 10] is complete.

-
- [1] T. Frick, H. M  ther, and A. Sedrakian, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 061303 (2002).
 - [2] J. Dabrowski and P. Haensel, Can. J. Phys. **52**, 1768 (1974).
 - [3] P. Haensel, Phys. Rev. C **11**, 1822 (1975).
 - [4] P. Haensel and J. Dabrowski, Nucl. Phys. **A254**, 211 (1975).
 - [5] J. Dabrowski and P. Haensel, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **97**, 452 (1976).
 - [6] S. Frauendorf and K. Neerg  rd, Z. Phys. A **354**, 381 (1996).
 - [7] S. Frauendorf and K. Neerg  rd, in *Contr. Int. Conf. Nuclear Behaviour at High Angular Momentum, Strasbourg 1980*, edited by F. A. Beck et al. (CRN, Strasbourg 1980), p. 1.
 - [8] S. Frauendorf and K. Neerg  rd (1981), preprint.
 - [9] P. Haensel and A. J. Jerzak, Phys. Lett. **112B**, 285 (1982).
 - [10] J. Dabrowski, Can. J. Phys. **62**, 400 (1984).